Case: 07-15386 05/06/2010 ID: 7327745 DktEntry: 38 Page: 1 of 3

FII FD

FOR PUBLICATION

MAY 06 2010

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BARBARA BAUMAN; GREGORY
GRECO; JOSEFINA NUNEZ;
GABRIELE NUNEZ; MIRIAM NUNEZ;
SILVIA NUNEZ; EMILIO GUILLERMO
PESCE; MIRTA HAYDEN ARENAS;
GRACIELA GIGENA; GUILLERMO
ALBERTO GIGENA, NURIA GIGENA;
AMELIA SCHIAFFO; ELBA LECHNER;
ANUNCIACION SPALTRO DE
BELMONT; HECTOR RATTO;
EDUARDO OLASIREGUI; RICARDO
MARTIN HOFFMAN; EDUARDO
ESTIVILLE; ALFREDO MANUEL
MARTIN; JUAN JOSE MARTIN; JOSE
BARREIRO; ALEJANDRO DAER,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION and DAIMLERCHRYSLER AG,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 07-15386

D.C. No. CV-04-00194-RMW Northern District of California, San Jose

ORDER

Before: SCHROEDER, D.W. NELSON and REINHARDT, Circuit Judges.

Appellants' Petition for Rehearing is GRANTED. The opinion filed on August 28, 2009 is vacated. This case shall be reheard by the 3-judge panel the

Case: 07-15386 05/06/2010 ID: 7327745 DktEntry: 38 Page: 2 of 3

week of June 21, 2010, in Pasadena, California. The date and time of oral argument will be set soon, and counsel should inform this court of any potential conflicts immediately.

The parties are ordered to submit supplemental briefs addressing the following questions:

- 1. Is "control" an element of this court's agency test for personal jurisdiction? If so, how much control is required? If our precedent is unclear, how much control should be required?
- 2. If the panel concludes that an agency relationship exists, would an exercise of general jurisdiction over defendants be reasonable in this case?

These supplemental briefs shall be no longer than ten pages or 2800 words, and shall be submitted no later than 14 days after the entry of this order. Parties who are registered for Appellate ECF must file the supplemental brief electronically. Parties who are not registered Appellate ECF filers must file the original supplemental brief plus seven paper copies.

The Petition for Rehearing En Banc is denied as moot without prejudice to filing a new petition for rehearing en banc.

Case: 07-15386 05/06/2010 ID: 7327745 DktEntry: 38 Page: 3 of 3